RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1 2	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION ** 2011 Sill AM 8: 3:	2					
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In the Matter of) DISMISSAL AND CASE MUR 6392 KELLY FOR CONGRESS AND KRISTEN L. SMITH, AS TREASURER) ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM)	,					
10. 11							
12	Under the Enforcement Priority System ("EPS"), the Commission uses formal						
13	scoring criteria to allocate its resources and decide which cases to pursue. These ariteria						
14	include, but are not limited to, an assessment of (1) the gravity of the alleged violation,						
15	both with respect to the type of activity and the amount in violation, (2) the apparent						
16	impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process, (3) the legal						
17	complexity of issues raised in the case, (4) recent trends in potential violations of the						
18	Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("Act"), and (5) development of the						
19	law with respect to certain subject matters. It is the Commission's policy that pursuing						
20	low-rated matters, compared to other higher-rated matters on the Enforcement docket,						
21	warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss certain cases. The Office of						
22	General Counsel has scored MUR 6392 as a low-rated matter and has also determined that						
23	it should not be referred to the Alternative Dispute Resolution Office. This Office						
24	therefore recommends that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion to disruiss						
25	MUR 6392.						
26	In this matter, the complaint alleges that Kelly for Congress and Kristen L. Smith,						
27	in her official capacity as treasurer ("Committee"), violated the Act and Commission						
28	regulations by failing to include the appropriate disclaimers in certain campaign						
29	advertisements. Kelly for Congress is the authorized committee of Jesse Kelly, who was a						

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Dismissal and Case Closure Under EPS General Counsel's Report – MUR 6392 Page 2

- 1 candidate in the 2010 election for the U.S. House of Representatives for the 8th
- 2 Congressional District of Arizona. Attached to the complaint is a photograph of what
- 3 appears to be a campaign sign that states, "Giffords' Cut \$500 Billion from Your
- 4 Medicare" and includes a disclaimer at the bottom stating "Paid for by Kelly for
- 5 Congress." Because the disclaimer is not enclosed in a printed box, the complaint alleges
- 6 that the Committee violated 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(2)(ii).²

The Committee's response acknowledges that the disclaimers in some of its campaign signs were not enclosed in a printed box. However, the Committee maintains that these omissions were unintentional. According to the Committee, once it became aware of the problem, it took "immediate corrective action" by instructing volunteers to either draw a "sufficient box" around disclaimers in signs that did not comply with section 110.11(c)(2)(ii) or remove such signs and replace them with ones that complied with the Commission's disclaimer requirement. Finally, the Committee includes a photograph of what it describes as an example of one of the corrected signs, in which the disclaimer is enclosed within a printed box.

Political committee materials that require disclaimers include, inter alia, campaign signs, see 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.26 and 110.11(a); see also MUR 6329 (Michael Grimm), General Counsel's Report at 2. Under 2 U.S.C. § 441d(c)(2) and

Representative Gabrielle Giffords was Mr. Kelly's general election opponent.

The complaint describes the disclaimer as having been typed in "tiny print," although it does not allege that the print size constitutes a violation of the Act. Under 2 U.S.C. § 441d(c)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(2)(i), a disclaimer "must be of sufficient type size to be clearly readable by the recipient of the communication." The Commission established a safe harbor of 12-point type size for disclaimers in signs and other printed communications that are no larger than 24 inches by 36 inches. 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(2)(i). While the exact dimensions of the Committee's sign are not included in the complaint or response, we have no information to suggest that it is larger than 24 inobes by 36 inches. Given that the type face of the disclaimer is in what appears to be 12-point type size or a type size close to it, the disclaimer appears to be of sufficient type size to be clearly readable.

Dismissal and Case Closure Under EPS General Counsel's Report – MUR 6392 Page 3

1	11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(2)(ii), a disclaimer for a printed communication must be contained			
2	in a printed box set apart from the other contents of the communication.			
3	It appears that the campaign advertisements at issue contained sufficient identifying			
4	information to prevent the public from being misled as to who paid for them, and the			
5	violations of 2 U.S.C. § 441d(c)(2) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(2)(ii) appear to be technical			
6	in nature. Furthermore, the Committee acknowledged that its disclaimers did not comply			
7	with the applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, and has taken remedial action to			
8	correct the deficiencies. Accordingly, under EPS, the Office of General Counsel has			
9	scored MUR 6392 as a low-rated matter and, therefore, in furtherance of the Commission's			
10	priorities, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its			
11	prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821			
12	(1985).			
13	RECOMMENDATIONS			
14	The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss			
15	MUR 6392, close the file, and approve the appropriate letters.			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Christopher Hughey Acting General Counted BY: Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel Complaints Examination & Legal Administration			

Dismissal and Case Closure Under EPS General Counsel's Report – MUR 6392 Page 4

1		
2 3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	l	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		

11044366465

Left S Tordan

Supervisory Attorney
Complaints Examination
& Legal Administration